United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,392	11/03/2003	Kazuo Hiraguchi	Q78054	2716	
23373 7590 07/09/2007 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER		
			GREENHUT, CHARLES N		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
W.151111.010	11, 20 20007		3652		
			• •		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		10/698,392	HIRAGUCHI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Charles N. Greent					
Period fo		cation appears on the cover s	sheet with the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community.	AILING DATE OF THIS COINTS OF THIS C	er, may a reply be timely filed X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>17 May 2007</u> .					
•		b)⊡ This action is non-fina					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	•			
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the a	oplication.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar		tion.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,8 and 10</u> is/are rejected.						
-	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2, 4-7, 9 and 11-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requiren	ient.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		•			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object						
			drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the	attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been recei	ved.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been recei	ved in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies	of the priority documents ha	ve been received in this National Stage				
	application from the Internation	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
. * (See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of the certified co	pies not received.				
Attachmer	nt(s)	<u> </u>					
· · =	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
· ==	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Patent Application				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🛄 (Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/698,392

Art Unit: 3652

l. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claim(s) 1, 3, 8, and 10 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over STAAR (US 3,864,743 A) in view of Applicant's Acknowledged Prior Art (AAPA).

1.1. With respect to claim(s) 1, 3, 8, and 10 STAAR discloses an accommodation chamber (8), which accommodates both a first (3) and second (21) recording tape cartridge, the first recording tape cartridge (3) being structurally different (cf. Fig. 1-2) that the second (21), the first (3) and second (21) recording tape cartridge each comprising a case (3)/(21) rotatably accommodating a reel (4)/(23) around which a recording tape (2)/(25) is wound, grasped portions (unlabeled proximate arrows in Fig. 1-2), which include concave portions provide at side walls and which a grasping device can grasp from both sides, wherein the height from the floor surface of the accommodating chamber (8) to the grasped portions of the first cartridge (3) at a time when the first cartridge (3) is accommodated in the chamber (8) is the same as the height from the floor surface of the accommodating chamber (8) to the grasped portions of the second cartridge (21) at a time when the second cartridge (21) is accommodated in the chamber (8) (Col. 2 Li. 17-20), and an interval between corner portions of the grasped portions of the first cartridge (3) at a removal side is the same as an interval between corner portions of the grasped portions of the second recording tape cartridge (21) at the removal side (Col. 2 Li. 17-20), and corner portions of the grasped portions of the first recording tape cartridge (3) are chamfered/inclined walls providing guide surfaces (note curved/smoothed transition). STAAR fails to disclose the accommodation chamber constituting part of a structure that houses a plurality of said chambers and a grasping device. These features, however, are well known in the art, as shown in AAPA Fig. 10-11. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ the STARR chamber in a structure that houses a plurality of such chambers (100/110), and to employ a grasping device (70/80) to access those chambers in order to store and retrieve additional data.

II. Allowable Subject Matter

- 1. Claim(s) 2, 4-7, 9 and 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
 - 1.1. With respect to claims 2 and 9 the following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter
 - 1.1(a) While the prior art of STAAR, TODOR and KAPPEL and that shown by Applicant in Fig. 8 teach recording tape cartridges graspable from the rear at a concave section, a cartridge having the unique feature of an overhang portion hung over a side wall extending rearwardly from the concave portions as described in the language of claims 2 and 9 is not taught or fairly suggested by the prior art.

III. Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant's arguments entered 5/17/07 have been fully considered.

1. Applicant argues that STARR does not anticipate claims 1 and 8, as amended, because STARR fails to disclose a plurality of accommodation chambers in the structure and a grasping device as required by the present amendment. This argument is persuasive. The rejection under 35 USC 102(b) over STARR has therefore been withdrawn. Upon further consideration, however, a new grounds for rejection is presented above.

IV. Conclusion

- 1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 2. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles N. Greenhut whose telephone number is (571) 272-1517. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am 4:00pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,392

Art Unit: 3652

4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Patrick Mackey can be reached at (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

5. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

CG

PATRICK MACKEY
PATRIC

Page 5